| | Case 5:10-cr-00690-EJD Document 14 | Filed 12/10/10 Page 1 of 2 |
|----|---|---|
| | | |
| 1 | | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 7 | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 8 | SAN JOSE DIVISION | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, | No. CR 10-00690 JW |
| 11 | Plaintiff, | [PROPERTIES] ORDER CONTINUING LEARING DATE AND EXCLUDING |
| 12 | vs. | TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT |
| 13 | ELIODORO MENDEZ-CEBALLOS, | |
| 14 | Defendant. | |
| 15 |) | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | PROPUSED] ORDER | |
| 18 | GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, upon stipulation of the parties, IT IS HEREBY | |
| 19 | ORDERED that the hearing currently set for December 13, 2010, shall be continued to Monday, | |
| 20 | January 31, 2011, at 9:00 a.m. | |
| 21 | THE COURT FINDS that failing to exclude the time between December 13, 2010, and | |
| 22 | January 31, 2011, would unreasonably deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for | |
| 23 | effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § | |
| 24 | 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). | |
| 25 | THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the ends of justice served by excluding the time | |
| 26 | between December 13, 2010, and January 31, 2011, from computation under the Speedy Trial | |
| | U. S. v. Elidoro Mendez-Ceballos | 1 |

Case 5:10-cr-00690-EJD Document 14 Filed 12/10/10 Page 2 of 2

| 1 | Act outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. |
|----|---|
| 2 | IT IS SO ORDERED. |
| 3 | Dated: December 10, 2010 |
| 4 | James Wales WARE |
| 5 | AONORABLE JĂMES WARE United States District Judge |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| | |